COMES NOW U.S. BANK N.A. ("U.S. Bank"), by and through its counsel of record, Fidelity National Law Group and Erin M. Stines, and hereby alleges its complaint against the Defendants as follows:

///

COMPLAINT - 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PARTIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1.1 Plaintiff is a national banking association, duly licensed and authorized to do business in the State of Washington.
- 1.2 At all relevant times, Defendants Charles G. Allen and Kazumi Allen were married as husband and wife having an interest in real property located at 111 NW Columbia Drive, #A-1, Oak Harbor, Washington 98277, and which is legally described as follows:

UNIT 101, BUILDING C, WOODSIDE CONDOMINIUM, PHASE II, ACCORDING TO AMENDED DECLARATION THEREOF RECORDED OCTOBER 20, 1983, UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 416509, RECORDS OF ISLAND COUNTY, WASHINGTON; BEING A PORTION OF ELLMORE DONATION LAND CLAIM IN SECTIONS 34 AND 35, TOWNSHIP 33 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN.

SITUATED IN ISLAND COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

13 ("Subject Property")

- 1.3 Defendant Woodside Homeowners Condominium Association is a Washington association that has an interest in the Subject Property pursuant to Claim of Lien recorded under Island County Auditor's File No. 4326325 on October 31, 2012.
- 1.4 Defendant Select Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC ("Select Portfolio") is a Delaware limited liability company that regularly attempts to collect defaulted third party debts from Washington consumers.
- 1.5 Select Portfolio may claim an interest in the Subject Property pursuant to Judgment filed under Island County Superior Court Cause No. 12-2-00656-8 on August 8, 2012.

1	
2	are
3	det
4	
5	
6	U.S
7	ma
8	
9	to
10	pro
11	
12	
13	en
14	int
15	
16	U.S
17	an
18	the

1.6 Plaintiff is unaware of the existence of any other persons or entities that are liable to plaintiff for damages. The identity of said John or Jane Does 1-5 may be determined during discovery.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2.1 This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, in that this is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 2.2 Venue is proper in Western District of Washington in Seattle pursuant to Local Civil Rule 2(d)(1) as the claim arose in Island County and concerns real property located in Island County.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The subject matter of this action concerns the validity and enforceability of a Deed of Trust and relative priority rights of certain recorded interests, recorded and filed in Island County, Washington.
- 3.2 On or about June 28, 2010, Defendants obtained a refinance loan from U.S. Bank. The loan was in the original principal amount of \$186,746.00 ("Loan") and evidenced by a Note signed Charles Allen of even date. A true and correct copy of the Note is filed herewith as **Exhibit A**.
- 3.3 To secure repayment of the Loan, Charles Allen executed a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") to U.S. Bank whereby the parties to the Loan intended to encumber the Subject Property. A true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust is filed herewith as **Exhibit B**.

 \parallel ///

19

20

21

22

23

COMPLAINT - 3

1	3.4 As a condition of the Loan, U.S. Bank required its Loan proceeds to pay
2	off an existing Alaska USA Federal Credit Union loan made to Charles Allen on
3	November 2, 2005, in the original principle amount of \$191,900.00 ("Alaska USA
4	Loan").
5	3.5 The Alaska USA Loan secured the Subject Property pursuant to a Deed
6	of Trust recorded in a first lien position under Island County Auditor's File No.
7	4154356 on November 16, 2005. A true and correct copy of the Alaska USA Deed of
8	Trust is filed herewith as Exhibit C .
9	3.6 In connection with U.S. Bank's Loan to Defendants, a payoff in the
10	amount of \$185,035.28 was paid by U.S. Bank to satisfy the Alaska USA Loan.
11	3.7 Once U.S. Bank paid off the Alaska USA Loan in full, a Full
12	Reconveyance of the Alaska USA Deed of Trust was recorded under Island County
13	Auditor's File No. 4278219 on August 3, 2010. A true and correct copy of the Full
14	Reconveyance is filed herewith as Exhibit D .
15	3.8 The parties to the Loan intended to record the Deed of Trust as a first
16	position lien; superior to all other interests in the Subject Property.
17	3.9 Inadvertently, the Deed of Trust was not recorded in 2010 as the parties
18	intended.
19	3.10 To fully encumber the Subject Property, the Deed of Trust should have
20	been signed by both Charles and Kazumi Allen, as Charles and Kazumi Allen had a
21	community property interest in the Subject Property at the time the Loan was
22	originated.
23	///

3.11 Inadvertently,	Kazumi Allen did not sign Note and Deed of Trust at
closing.	
3.12 The loan is in	default and U.S. Bank seeks to reform the Deed of Trust
to encumber both Charles	and Kazumi Allen's ownership interest in the Subject
Property.	
3.13 More than to	wo years after the Deed of Trust recorded, Woodside
Homeowners Condominium	n Association filed a lien against the Subject Property.
3.14 More than two	o years after the Deed of Trust recorded, Defendant Select
Portfolio filed a Judgment f	iled under Island County Cause No. 12-2-00656-8.
3.15 The Deed of T	rust is senior to and prior in time to these aforementioned
Defendants and any alleged	interest in the Subject Property.
3.16 U.S. Bank see	ks a declaratory judgment and quiet title placing its Loan
in a first-lien position on the	e Subject Property.
3.17 U.S. Bank see	eks a declaratory judgment decreeing that the Deed of
Trust is reformed to includ	e Kazumi Allen's signature and interest as was originally
intended by the parties whe	n U.S. Bank originated the Loan.
3.18 U.S. Bank's co	omplaint is based on the principles of equity and equitable
subrogation, full pay off o	f Alaska USA's Deed of Trust, and state law governing
priority of mortgages ove	r condominium dues and assessments and later filed
judgments.	
///	
///	
///	

1 **CLAIMS** 2 **CLAIM ONE - DECLARATORY RELIEF** 3 As to All Defendants 4 4.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the matters set forth above. 5 4.2 In consideration for the Loan, the parties intended for a first position 6 Deed of Trust to encumber the Subject Property. 4.3 7 To fully encumber the Subject Property, the Deed of Trust should have 8 been signed by both Charles Allen and Kazumi Allen, as Charles Allen and Kazumi 9 Allen had a community property interest in the Subject Property at the time the Loan 10 was originated. 11 4.4 Accordingly, U.S. Bank is entitled to a declaratory judgment decreeing 12 that the Deed of Trust is reformed to include Kazumi Allen's signature and interest as 13 was originally intended by the parties when U.S. Bank originated the Loan. Furthermore, to fully encumber the Subject Property and to fulfill the 14 4.5 15 parties' agreements and intentions, the Deed of Trust should have been recorded on 16 June 28, 2010, with the Island County Auditor. 4.6 Accordingly, U.S. Bank is entitled to a declaratory judgment, decreeing 17 that the Deed of Trust is a valid, subsisting first position lien upon the Subject 18 Property, affecting title to the Subject Property, and any and all interests, if any, of 19 Defendants named herein as of June 28, 2010. 20 4.7 No third party will be prejudiced if the Court grants the declaratory 21 relief requested herein by U.S. Bank. 22 /// 23

CLAIM TWO – EQUITABLE SUBROGATION As to All Defendants 4.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the matters set forth above.

- 4.2 Pursuant to the doctrine of equitable subrogation, although U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust was not signed by Kazumi Allen and not recorded at the time the Loan originated, U.S. Bank nonetheless assumes the lien priority interest of any and all liens it paid off with the proceeds of its Loan when it originated its Loan.
- 4.3 Accordingly, U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust is senior to any and all interests, if any, of the Defendants named herein to the extent U.S. Bank's Loan proceeds were used to pay and satisfy senior liens namely the Alaska USA Deed of Trust at the time U.S. Bank made its Loan.
- 4.4 Accordingly, U.S. Bank is senior to all Defendants named herein for any and all interests, costs, fees, and charges that would be recoverable and secured by the terms of the Deed of Trust.

CLAIM THREE – EQUITABLE LIEN

As to All Defendants

- 5.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the matters set forth above.
- 5.2 In the alternative, U.S. Bank requests the Court determine it is entitled to equitable lien against the Subject Property, as of the date Deed of Trust was recorded.
- 5.3 In consideration for the Loan, the parties intended to fully encumber the Subject Property with the Deed of Trust and the parties intended to encumber the Subject Property in a first lien position.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	5.4 U.S. Bank is thereby entitled to an equitable lien on the Subject
2	Property in the original principle amount of the Loan, together with interest, attorney
3	fees and costs accrued from the date the Loan originated and the Deed of Trust was
4	signed by Charles Allen on June 28, 2010.
5	CLAIM FOUR – QUIET TITLE
6	As to All Defendants
7	6.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the matters set forth above.
8	6.2 Consistent with the parties' original intentions to fully encumber the
9	Subject Property and the interests of Charles Allen and Kazumi Allen.
10	6.3 Consistent with the parties' original intentions the Deed of Trust should
11	be deemed a valid and subsisting lien against the Subject Property as of the date in
12	was originally executed by Charles Allen on June 28, 2010.
13	6.4 U.S. Bank's lien on the Subject Property plus all associated interests
14	costs, fees, and charges that would be recoverable and secured by the terms of the
15	Deed of Trust is therefore superior to any and all interests, if any, of the Defendants
16	named herein and an order quieting title in favor of U.S. Bank should be entered.
17	WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, jointly and
18	severally, as follows:
19	1. That Defendants' interests in the Subject Property are deemed to be
20	subject to the Deed of Trust;
21	2. For U.S. Bank's attorneys' fees/costs incurred to reform the Deed of
22	Trust and to establish its first lien position relative to Defendants' interests; and
23	3. For such further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

1	DATED this <u>23rd</u> day of June, 2015, at Seattle, Washington.
2	
3	FIDELITY NATIONAL LAW GROUP
4	/s/ Erin M. Stines
5	Erin M. Stines, WSBA #31501 Fidelity National Law Group
6	1200 — 6 th Avenue, Suite 620 Seattle, WA 98101
7	Erin.Stines@fnf.com (206) 224-6005
9	(206) 223-4527 – FAX Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. Bank N.A.
10	IV.A.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
۵۵	